

RULES FOR SENDING, REVIEWING AND PUBLISHING SCIENTIFIC ARTICLES

The editorial board of the journal is guided by the principles of scientificity, objectivity, and impartiality in its activities

The content of the article should correspond to one of the following branches of science and groups of specialties:

1.5.20. - Biological resources (Biological sciences),

4.1.1. - General agriculture and crop production (Agricultural sciences),

4.1.3. - Agrochemistry, agrosoil science, plant protection and quarantine (Agricultural sciences),

4.2.1. - Animal pathology, morphology, physiology, pharmacology and toxicology (Veterinary Sciences),

4.2.4. - Private animal husbandry, feeding, fodder preparation and livestock production technologies (Agricultural sciences).

1. Technical screening of the manuscript is carried out by an expert of the journal, in accordance with the requirements for the authors, within a week after the submission of the manuscript in electronic form (izvestiaggau@mail.ru) in order that it may be checked for plagiarism.

2. Each article undergoes a two-stage review. Firstly, the article is checked for formal signs of plagiarism in the "Anti-plagiarism" system. The threshold of originality of the article should be at least 70%. Usage of materials from previously defended dissertations is allowed, but the threshold of originality of the article on the whole should also meet the threshold of 70%. If the author of the article is the supervisor of a postgraduate student, the data of the dissertation work that he uses in the article should be accompanied by a link to the materials of the articles of the postgraduate student. Similarly, the threshold of originality of the article should also be at least 70%. If the article is able to satisfy the formal requirements and has the threshold of originality, it is sent, together with the verification report with respect to the Anti-Plagiarism system, for review to an expert in the relevant field on the editorial board. Once the article has been given a positive review, it is allowed for publication.

3. The name of one author in each issue should appear no more than 2 times

4. Submission for review is made by an expert after the technical screening and verification of the originality of the author's text. The publication reviews all materials received by the editorial office that correspond to its subject for the purpose of being evaluated by experts. Review of the article is conducted **by independent experts of the journal** within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the manuscript that fulfills the criteria of the journal. All reviewers are recognized experts on the subject of peer-reviewed materials and have had publications on the subject of the reviewed article for the last 3 years. Reviews are stored in the publishing house and in the editorial office of the publication for 5 years. If there are any shortcomings to be found, the manuscript is returned to the authors with a written list of them in order that they may be rectified. The journal uses a blind peer review process as per its guidelines.

5. Re-review is written after the submission of a version of the article, provided all the comments have been addressed, within no more than 30 days. In case of three consecutive returns of the manuscript with the reviewer's comments, the question of its acceptance or rejection is decided at a meeting of the editorial board.

6. The decision to publish shall be made in accordance with the Charter of the editorial board by the editor-in-chief or deputy editor-in-chief on the basis of scientific reviews and the opinions of the members of the editorial board. When deciding on publication, the editor-in-chief and the deputy editor-in-chief are guided by the reliability of the presentation of data and the scientific significance of the work in question.

7. In case of a decision to publish within three days, the manuscript of the article is transferred to a professional translator for proofreading and editing of the English-language part of the article.

8. Reviews are provided to the authors of manuscripts and at the request of expert councils in the Higher Attestation Commission. If there are strong grounds for the article not to be published, the editorial board sends the author a rejection with a detailed and substantiated reason for it. Manuscripts are non-transferrable.